**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1995 OF 2004**

**WITH**

**CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 1996 OF 2004**

Sou. Suman Nandkumar Poman .. Petitioner(s).
etc..

V/s.

Aryurved Rashala & Ors. .. Respondents.

---

Shri Atul G. Damle for the Petitioner in both W.Ps.
Shri A.S.Gadkari, APP for Respondent No.3-State.

---

**CORAM : A.M.KHANWILKAR,J.**
**DATED : JANUARY 18, 2005**

**PC :-**

. The only contention raised before this court is that the cheque in question has been signed by accused No.2. The argument is that the petitioner is the sole proprietress of M/s. Rohit Enterprises. It is not in dispute that the cheque in question was issued for and on behalf of Rohit Enterprises, though signed by accused No.2. If it is so, petitioner being proprietress of Rohit Enterprises, section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act is attracted on the dishonour of the said cheque, making the petitioner also liable for the said offence. Hence, dismissed.

. . . . .

. . . . .